## **IDAHO RARE PLANT OBSERVATION REPORT 2014**

(double click on box, and click 'checked If you need to mail maps or other mater	but don't worry if you have to leave blanks. Many fields contain check boxes '). E-mail completed form to <u>plant@idfg.idaho.gov</u> ials that can't be sent electronically, send them to Botany Data Coordinator, O Box 25, 600 S. Walnut St., Boise ID 83707-0025. Inservation in Idaho!					
Species:	Date(s):					
Observer(s):						
Agency/Organization/Company:						
Address:						
E-mail:	Phone:					
Other knowledgeable individuals:						
Observation was: 🔲 very thorough	fairly thorough Cursory or incidental					
If this observation is part of a larger stud	dy or report, what is the study/report?					
Certainty of identification:   moderate	high verified by:					
Specimen collector/Collection #:	Herbarium:					
Photo attached?	If photos are located elsewhere, where are they?					
Population Information (This is for the	entire population; information on subpopulations goes on next page)					
Survey site name (e.g., a particular land	Imark or location):					
Element occurrence (EO) #, if known:	For an existing EO, is this: 🗌 revisit 🛛 addition 🗌 unsure					
Population area (extent of all subpopula	tions):					
Do you feel you mapped the full extent of	of the population? 🗌 yes 🗌 no 📄 unsure					
Is there more potential habitat in the are	ea that hasn't been surveyed? 🗌 yes 🛛 no 🗌 unsure					
Suggestions for other areas to survey:						
Monitoring or research needs for this po	pulation:					
Management needs for this population:						
Additional population comments:						

Directions (please be specific so population/subpopulations can be relocated years from now by others):

**Subpopulation information** (Copy this page and the next as needed—one for each subpop. If visits to individual subpops aren't made, fill out one for whole population. Subpops are divided by breaks in habitat, or a particular distance set by the observer, e.g., >50 m without the target species. Populations are divided by >1 km without target species.)

Subpopulation #:	ubpopulation #: Date of Observation: Observer(s):								
Total number of individ	duals in subpopulation:	This num	ber is: 🔲 actua	I 🗌 minimum	estimated				
What was counted?	genets ramets	N/A (non-vascular	etc.) 🗌 unkno	own					
Phenology: seedling _	% vegetative	% flower %	fruit %	dormant %	unknown %				
Subpopulation area:	Subpor	oulation vigor: 🗌 excell	ent 🗌 good	🗌 fair 🗌 poor	🗌 unknown				
Do you feel you mappe	ed the full extent of this	subpopulation? 🗌 yes	🗌 no 🗌	unsure					
Dominant species (exis	sting plant community):								
Habitat type (potential	plant community):								
Associated native spec	cies:								
Associated non-native	species:								
Look-alike species pre	esent:								
General terrain/habitat	t (e.g., foothills, wetland,	subalpine):							
Slope:	Aspect:	Topoposition:							
Minimum Elevation: _	m or ft	Maximum Elevation:	m or _	ft					
Light regime:		Substrate/soil:							
Landowner(s): 🗌 BLN	M 🗌 USFS 🗌 state	private dother:							
If all or part of subpopu	ulation is on private land	, has the landowner pro	vided consent fo	or the data to be ex	ported?				
Date of consent by priv	vate landowner, their co	ntact info, and other per	tinent comments	3:					

General owner comments:

Observed disturbances, such as land use, disease, predation, non-native species. For each, include severity (slight, moderate, serious, or extreme) and scope (≤10%, 11-30%, 31-70%, 71-100% of subpopulation affected), if known:

Factors that may be a threat in the future. For each, include severity, scope, and imminency (near or distant future), if known:

Native plant community within the subpopulation is:

A. intact with zero to low non-native plant cover and/or minimal anthropogenic disturbance

B. intact with low to moderate non-native plant cover and/or low to moderate anthropogenic disturbance

C. partially intact with moderate to high non-native plant cover and/or mod. to high anthropogenic disturbance

D. almost gone with high non-native plant species cover and/or high anthropogenic disturbance

Additional comments to describe subpopulation condition and support rank:

Landscape surrounding the subpopulation is:

A. unfragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact

B. partially fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact

C. moderately fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact

D. highly fragmented, with many ecological and hydrological processes no longer intact

Additional comments to describe landscape setting and support rank:

Additional comments about the subpopulation, in general:

If location data are	e from a <b>p</b>	aper map:							
County:	Quad:								
Township:	Rang	e:		1/4 of 1/4 of Section					
Township:	Rang	nge: 1/4 of 1/4 of Section							
How accurately do	o you feel	you mappe	ed the subpo	pulation comp	pared to its	actual location	on on the	e ground?	
Attach a copy of p	art of a U	SGS 7.5' q	uad (or com	parable) and o	delineate tl	ne subpopula	ition.		
If location data are	e GPS dat	a:							
Format of GPS da	ita: 🗌 sh	apefile [	☐ digital file	(.dbf, .xls, .txt	, etc.)	GPS points	filled in	below	
Method used to co	ollect GPS	data: 🗌	GPS unit	estimated	on a papei	map 🗌 o	ther:		
GPS unit was held	d: 🗌 dire	ctly over th	ie rare plant	🗌 in th	e general	vicinity of the	rare pla	nt	
Do the GPS points	s mark the	boundary	of a plant gr	roup? 🗌 yes	🗌 no	unsure			
Accuracy of GPS	unit (± m):			Datum:	NAD27	NAD83	] WGS8	4 🗌 unkr	Iown
Coordinate system	n: 🗌 UT	M zone 11	UTM 🛛	zone 12 🗌	UTM zone	unknown	🗌 Idaho	o Transverse	e Mercator
	🗌 De	cimal degr	ees, lat/long	🗌 state pla	ane 🗌 t	ownship/rang	ge/sectio	n	
GPS coordinates	(This sect	ion has dro	p-down mer	nus. No need	to fill in if s	submitting sha	apefiles	or digital file	s.)
Datum	Zone	ID#	Easting (X)	or Longitude	North	ing (Y) or Lati	itude	Accuracy	
							+	-/-	]
							+	-/-	1
							+	-/-	]